

HIV and Health - Adult access to ART

Author/s: Leigh Johnson (Centre for Actuarial Research, University of Cape Town), updated by Katharine Hall (CI)

Date: Updated July 2010

Definition

This indicator is calculated as the number of adults starting antiretroviral treatment (ART) in a particular year, divided by the number of new adult AIDS cases over the same year.

% of adults newly eligible for ART who initiate treatment, by year and by province						
PROVINCE	2002 / 2003	2003 / 2004	2004 / 2005	2005 / 2006	2006 / 2007	2007 / 2008
Eastern Cape	4.3	7.0	19.1	31.8	36.4	43.8
Free State	3.0	3.2	8.7	13.2	21.5	27.5
Gauteng	3.7	8.3	16.7	28.1	29.3	36.6
KwaZulu-Natal	4.0	4.6	15.3	30.1	36.3	46.6
Limpopo	3.0	3.8	11.7	26.9	33.2	40.4
Mpumalanga	3.1	4.1	7.9	18.5	33.3	42.6
North West	2.7	3.5	17.6	33.4	33.8	42.6
Northern Cape	4.0	6.6	28.9	43.2	74.4	90.4
Western Cape	9.0	33.1	43.0	57.6	56.5	68.2
South Africa	3.8	6.6	16.1	28.9	34.0	42.8

- Source**
- Department of Health (2008) National Comprehensive HIV and AIDS plan statistics. [Unpublished]
 - Adam MA & Johnson LF (2009) Estimation of adult antiretroviral treatment coverage in South Africa. South African Medical Journal. 99: 661-667.
 - Dorrington RE, Johnson LF, Bradshaw D & Daniel T (2006) The Demographic Impact of HIV/AIDS in South Africa. National and provincial Indicators for 2006. Centre for Actuarial Research (UCT), Medical Research Council and Actuarial Society of South Africa.
 - Barron P, Day C & Monticelli F (2008) The District Health Barometer 2006/07. Health Systems Trust. Analysis by Leigh Johnson, Centre for Actuarial Research, UCT.

Notes Reporting periods run from mid-year to mid-year.

What do the numbers tell us?

Adult access to ART is important for children because it has a direct impact on the health and survival of parents and other caregivers. The challenges for children of living with AIDS-ill caregivers and of orphanhood are well documented by research from across sub-Saharan Africa. This indicator is defined as the proportion of HIV-infected adults progressing to AIDS in a particular year who initiate antiretroviral treatment. It is calculated as the number of adults starting antiretroviral treatment (ART) in a particular year, divided by the number of new adult AIDS cases over the same year.

Prior to 2004, access to ART was limited mainly to beneficiaries of medical schemes and individuals receiving treatment through workplace treatment programmes. Towards the end of 2003, the Department of Health announced a comprehensive HIV/AIDS care, management and treatment plan, which included the provision of ART to all patients with a CD4+ count $<200/\mu\text{l}$, or an AIDS-defining illness, attending public health facilities.¹ New treatment guidelines published in 2010 additionally prioritise antiretrovirals for HIV patients co-infected with tuberculosis and initiation of lifelong ART for pregnant women with CD4+ counts below $350/\mu\text{l}$.²

The implementation of the comprehensive HIV/AIDS plan led to a sharp increase in the proportion of newly eligible adults initiating treatment, from 4% in 2002/03, to 43% in 2007/08 (or roughly 200,000 individuals). The speed and extent of scale-up of the ART programme is unprecedented in the history of the health system in South Africa. However, despite progress in making ART available in the public health sector, there remains a vast unmet need for treatment: some 760,000 eligible adults were still not receiving treatment by mid-2008.

There are substantial differences in access to ART across the provinces. Following the announcement of the comprehensive HIV/AIDS plan in 2003, the Western Cape introduced antiretroviral treatment much more rapidly than other provinces, with an estimated 68% of newly eligible adults started treatment between mid-2007 and mid-2008. Over the same period, an even higher rate of coverage (90%) was achieved in the Northern Cape. Free State has had the lowest rate of antiretroviral coverage in recent years (28%).

There are several barriers to the expansion of the ART programme. Most critical is the lack of infrastructure and shortage of trained health workers in many public health facilities, which makes it difficult to devolve the provision of ART to the primary care level. It is also likely that a large proportion of individuals who are eligible for ART are either not aware of their HIV status or have not received a recent CD4 assessment. Stigma and confusion regarding the effectiveness of ART are likely to result in individuals avoiding diagnosis and treatment.

Technical notes

The method used to calculate the antiretroviral treatment coverage in adults differs from that used by UNAIDS and the WHO.³ While this Children Count – Abantwana Babalulekile indicator is a measure of the proportion of newly eligible individuals who start antiretroviral treatment, over a period of time, the UNAIDS/WHO indicator is a measure of the proportion of eligible individuals who are receiving antiretroviral treatment at a point in time. In our calculation, the numerator is the number of adults (aged 15 years up) initiating antiretroviral treatment in a particular year. This is estimated from data on numbers of patients receiving antiretroviral treatment in the public health sector⁴ and data collected from private sector disease management programmes, and programmes run by non-governmental organisations⁵. The rationale for using this method is that it provides a better indicator of recent programme performance than the UNAIDS/WHO definition, which is an indicator of past programme performance.

The Department of Health data collection system defines children as 0 – 14 year olds. All people over 15 are classified as adults. Adult data is only disaggregated in 5 year age-bands, which makes it impossible to report in this indicator on adults as defined in the South African constitution (over the age of 18).

The denominator is the number of new adult AIDS cases over the same year, as estimated by the ASSA2003 AIDS and Demographic model.⁶ In the ASSA2003 model, antiretroviral treatment is assumed to start at the

time of the first AIDS-defining illness, and the calculation of the number of new adult AIDS cases in a particular period is therefore unaffected by the level of antiretroviral provision. Since the ASSA2003 model estimates of annual numbers of new AIDS cases are published over intervals from mid-year to mid-year, the rates of adult antiretroviral coverage are calculated for the same periods.

Strengths and limitations of the data

Antiretroviral coverage in South Africa is difficult to estimate because private sector data are very limited. A strength of this analysis is that it draws on previously unpublished data from disease management programmes and non-governmental organisations that are involved in the provision of antiretroviral treatment.

There is however substantial uncertainty regarding the accuracy of provincial estimates. Data on the provincial distribution of treatment in the private sector are out of date, with the most recent data relating to 2003. There appear to be anomalies in the public health sector data published by the Department of Health. There is also substantial uncertainty around the ASSA2003 estimates of the annual numbers of adults progressing to AIDS in each province (the denominator in the calculation of antiretroviral treatment coverage). Caution is therefore required when analysing the relative levels of antiretroviral coverage in the different provinces.

A further limitation is that the ASSA2003 model estimates the number of new AIDS cases rather than the number of individuals who are newly eligible for antiretroviral treatment. The latter includes individuals whose CD4+ counts have dropped below the threshold of 200/µl, while the former does not. This is likely to result in some under-estimation of treatment need – and because of the under-estimation of the denominator, there is likely to be over-estimation of adult antiretroviral treatment coverage.

It is unfortunately not possible to analyse antiretroviral coverage at a district level, since numbers of patients on antiretroviral treatment are not published at a district level, either for the public sector or the private sector. In addition, the ASSA2003 model that is used in the denominator of the antiretroviral coverage calculation has not been applied at a district level.

References and related links

- ¹ Department of Health (2003) *Operational plan for comprehensive HIV and AIDS care, management and treatment for South Africa*. Pretoria: DoH. Available: <http://www.info.gov.za/issues/hiv/aidsqa19nov03.pdf>
- ² South African National AIDS Council (2010) *The South African antiretroviral treatment guidelines 2010*. Pretoria: Department of Health.
- ³ Boerma JT, Stanecki KA, Newell MI, Luo C, Beusenbergh M, Garnett GP, Little K, Calleja JG, Crowley S, Yong Kim, J, Zaniewski E, Walker N, Stover J & Gys PD (2006) Monitoring the scale-up of antiretroviral therapy programmes: methods to estimate coverage. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 84(2): 145-150; UNAIDS (2008) *2008 Report on the global AIDS epidemic*. Available: http://www.unaids.org/en/KnowledgeCentre/HIVData/GlobalReport/2008/2008_Global_report.asp
- ⁴ Department of Health (2008) National Comprehensive HIV and AIDS plan statistics. Pretoria: DoH. [Unpublished].
- ⁵ Johnson LF & McLeod HD (2007) Steady growth in antiretroviral treatment provision by disease management and community treatment programmes. *South African Medical Journal*. 97(5): 358-359.
- ⁶ Dorrington RE, Johnson LF, Bradshaw D & Daniel T (2006) *The Demographic Impact of HIV/AIDS in South Africa. National and Provincial Indicators for 2006*. Rondebosch: Centre for Actuarial Research (UCT), South African Medical Research Council and Actuarial Society of South Africa. Available: http://www.commerce.uct.ac.za/Research_Units/CARE/RESEARCH/PAPERS/ASSA2003Indicators.pdf



This fact sheet has been updated with the financial support of the Programme to Support Pro-Poor Policy Development (PSPPD), a partnership programme of the Presidency, Republic of South Africa and the Delegation of the European Union. The content of this document is the sole responsibility of the Children’s Institute, University of Cape Town, and can under no circumstances be regarded as reflecting the position of the Presidency or the European Union.



Copyright

The Children's Institute, University of Cape Town, holds copyright of the papers and publications on this site. Permission is granted to reproduce and distribute copies of these works for non-profit or library purposes, provided that the author, source, and copyright notice are included on each reproduced copy. Users who cite the material must acknowledge the author and copyright holder, and fully reference the work. It is also the policy of the Children’s Institute to respect the intellectual property rights of others, and the authors have attempted to ensure that no unauthorised use of copyrighted resources occurs.

Disclaimer

Every attempt is made to ensure that the material on these pages is accurate and as up-to-date as possible. Neither the University of Cape Town, its Faculty of Health Sciences, staff, agents nor any other person shall be liable to whomsoever may have sustained any loss of any kind as a result of having relied to his/her detriment upon any information contained in or downloaded from any of the pages at the World Wide Web – Children Count site of the Children's Institute at the University of Cape Town.